# Effect of welding speed on weld quality characteristics of pulsed current micro plasma arc welded AISI 304L Sheets

## Siva Prasad K.

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Anil Neerukonda Institute of Technology & Sciences, Visakhapatnam, India

## Srinivasa Rao Ch.

Department of Mechanical Engineering Andhra University, Visakhapatanm, India

### Nageswara Rao D.

Centurion University of Technology & Management, Odisha, India

### Phani Kumar S.

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Anil Neerukonda Institute of Technology & Sciences, Visakhapatnam, India

### Abstract

Micro Plasma Arc Welding (MPAW) is one of the important arc welding process commonly using in sheet metal industry for manufacturing metal bellows, metal diaphragms etc. In the present paper welding of AISI 304L austenitic chromium-nickel stainless steel using pulsed current micro plasma arc welding was discussed. The paper mainly focuses on studying the weld quality characteristics like weld pool geometry parameters, microstructure, grain size, hardness and tensile properties of pulsed current micro plasma arc welded AISI 304L austenitic stainless steels at different welding speeds. Results reveals that at a welding speed of 260 mm /minute better weld quality characteristics can be obtained.

Keywords: Pulsed current micro plasma arc welding, AISI 304L, grain size, hardness, tensile properties.

### 1 Introduction

The plasma welding process was introduced to the welding industry in 1964 as a method of bringing better control to the arc welding process in lower current ranges. Today, plasma retains the original advantages it brought to the industry by providing an advanced level of control and accuracy to produce high quality welds in both miniature and pre precision applications and to provide long electrode life for high production requirements at all levels of amperage. Plasma welding is equally suited to manual and automatic applications. It is used in a variety of joining operations ranging from welding of miniature components to seam welding to high volume production welding and many others.

During welding of thin sheets by conventional arc welding processes, which offer high heat input has

various problems such as burn through or melt trough, distortion, porosity, buckling warping & twisting of welded sheets, grain coarsening, evaporation of useful elements present in coating of the sheets, joint gap variation during welding, fume generation form coated sheets etc. Micro Plasma arc Welding (MPAW) is a good process for joining thin sheet, but it suffers high equipment cost compared to Gas Tungsten Arc Welding (GTAW). However it is more economical when compare with Laser Beam welding and Electron Beam Welding processes.

Pulsed current MPAW involves cycling the welding current at selected regular frequency. The maximum current is selected to give adequate penetration and bead contour, while the minimum is set at a level sufficient to maintain a stable arc [1, 2]. This permits arc energy to be used effectively to fuse a spot of controlled dimensions in a short time producing the weld as a series of overlapping nuggets. By contrast, in constant current welding, the heat required to melt the base material is supplied only during the peak current pulses allowing the heat to dissipate into the base material leading to narrower Heat Affected Zone (HAZ). Advantages include improved bead contours, greater tolerance to heat sink variations, lower heat input requirements, reduced residual stresses and distortion, refinement of fusion zone microstructure and reduced width of HAZ.

From the earlier works reported on AISI 304L austenitic stainless steel [3-7] it is understood that selection of welding process parameters play a vital role in obtaining the desired weld quality. Hence, an attempt is made to study the welding quality characteristics. The present paper focuses on studying the weld quality characteristics like weld pool geometry parameters, microstructure, grain size, hardness and tensile properties of pulsed current micro plasma arc welded AISI 304L austenitic stainless steels.

## 2 Experimental Procedure

Austenitic stainless steel (AISI 304L) sheets of 100 x 150 x 0.25mm are welded autogenously with square butt joint without edge preparation. The chemical composition of AISI 304L stainless steel sheet is given in Table 1. High purity argon gas (99.99%) is used as a shielding gas and a trailing gas right after welding to prevent absorption of oxygen and nitrogen from the atmosphere. The welding has been carried out under the welding conditions presented in Table 2. There are many influential process effect parameters which the weld quality characteristics of Pulsed Current MPAW process like peak current, back current, pulse rate, pulse width, flow rate of shielding gas, flow rate of purging gas, flow rate of plasma gas, welding speed etc. From the earlier works [4-8] carried out on Pulsed Current MPAW it was understood that the peak current, back current, pulse rate and pulse width are the dominating which effect parameters the weld quality characteristics. The values of process parameters used in this study are the optimal values obtained from our earlier papers [6,7]. Hence peak current, back current, pulse rate and pulse width are chosen and their values are presented in Table 3.

<b>Table 1</b> : Chemical composition of AISI 304L
(weight %)

С	Si	Mn	Р	S
0.021	0.35	1.27	0.030	0.001
Cr	Ni	Мо	Ti	Ν
18.10	8.02			0.053

Table 2: Welding conditions

Power source	Secheron Micro Plasma
	Arc Machine (Model:
	PLASMAFIX 50E)
Polarity	DCEN
Mode of operation	Pulse mode
Electrode	2% thoriated tungsten
	electrode
Electrode Diameter	1mm
Plasma gas	Argon & Hydrogen
Plasma gas flow rate	6 Lpm
Shielding gas	Argon
Shielding gas flow rate	0.4 Lpm
Purging gas	Argon
Purging gas flow rate	0.4 Lpm
Copper Nozzle	1mm
diameter	
Nozzle to plate	1mm
distance	
Torch Position	Vertical
Operation type	Automatic

 Table 3: Important weld parameters

Serial No	Input Factor	Units	Value
1	Peak Current	Amperes	7
2	Back Current	Amperes	4
3	Pulse rate	Pulses/second	40
4	Pulse width	%	50

### 2.1 Measurement of Weld Bead Geometry

Sample preparation and mounting was done as per ASTM E 3-1 standard. The samples were cut from the welded specimens and mounting using Bakelite powder. After standard metallurgical polishing process, Oxalic acid is used as the etchant to reveal weld bead geometry. The weld pool geometries were measured using Metallurgical Microscope, Make: Dewinter Technologie, Model No. DMI-CROWN-II. A typical weld bead geometry is shown in Figure 1. The measured values of weld pool geometry are presented in Table 6.



Figure 1: Typical weld bead geometry

Figure's 2a, 2b, 2c & 2d indicate the back surface of the welded joint at welding speeds of 150, 200, 260 & 300 mm/minute respectively.



Figure 2a: welding speed of 150 mm/minute



Figure 2b: welding speed of 200 mm/minute



Figure 2c: welding speed of 260 mm/minute



Figure 2d: welding speed of 300 mm/minute

## 2.2 Microstructure measurement

For Microstructure measurement ASTM E 407 was followed for Etching along with ASM Metal Hand Book, Volume 9. For revealing the Microstructure the weld samples are mounted using Bakelite and polishing was done according to standard Metallurgical procedure. Oxalic Acid was used as an etchant. For revealing the Microstructure, Electrolytic Etching was done. The Microstructure was measured using Metallurgical Microscope at a magnification of 100X. Figure's 3a, 3b, 3c & 3d indicates the microstructures at welding speeds of 150, 200, 260 & 300 mm/minute respectively. The left portion in the Figure's 3a, 3b, 3c & 3d indicates weld fusion zone ad right portion indicates Heat Affected Zone (HAZ).



Figure 3a: Welding Speed of 150 mm/minute



Figure 3b: Welding Speed of 200 mm/minute



Figure 3c: Welding Speed of 260 mm/minute



Figure 3d: Welding Speed of 300 mm/minute

## 2.3 Grain Size measurement

In order to reveal the grains, polishing was done according to standard Metallurgical procedure and Etching was done as per ASTM E407. Electrolytic was done using Nitric Acid for about 1 minute. Scanning Electron Microscope, Make: INCA Penta FETx3, Model: 7573 as shown in Figure 4 is used to measure the fusion zone grain size and parent metal. Figure 5 indicates the grain size of parent metal, where as Figure 6a, 6b, 6c & 6d indicates the fusion zone grain size at welding speeds of 150, 200, 260 & 300 mm/minute respectively. As the grains in some parts of the weld fusion zone are elongated, an average value was reported by measuring grain size at different locations in the fusion zone of each sample.



Figure 4: Scanning Electron Microscope



Figure 5: Grain size of parent metal



Figure 6a: Welding Speed of 150 mm/minute



Figure 6b: Welding Speed of 200 mm/minute



Figure 6c: Welding Speed of 260 mm/minute



Figure 6d: Welding Speed of 300 mm/minute

# 2.4 Measurement of Vickers Micro Hardness

Vickers Micro hardness was done as per ASTM E384. The samples were cut from the welded specimens and Vickers Micro Hardness values across the weld joint at an interval of 0.3mm using Digital Micro Hardness testing Machine, make METSUZAWA CO LTD, JAPAN, Model No: MMT-X7 as shown in Figure 7.



Figure 7: Vickers Micro hardness tester

Welding	Hardness values in VHN at different locations on the weld joint								
Speed (mm/minute)	HAZ zone		Fusion zone				HAZ zone		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
150	203.9	218.0	196.8	199.6	189.0	199.0	211.5	213.7	202.4
200	202.4	213.5	206.3	203.4	189.3	202.6	208.2	202.5	197.5
260	203.9	216.9	216.0	200.6	182.5	206.8	213.4	206.3	193.9
300	202.1	206.1	193.0	187.2	180.0	203.6	196.9	208.4	192.9

Table 4: Variation of hardness values across the weld joint at 0.3mm interval

In the Table 4 points 1,2,8,9 indicates at Heat Affected Zone (HAZ) and the points 3,4,5,6,7 indicates at Fusion Zone (FZ). The location of the

hardness measuring points is shown in Figure 8. The variation of hardness across the weld is shown in Figure 9.



Figure 8: Location of hardness measuring points on the weld joint



Figure 9: Variation of hardness across the weld

From Table 4 and Figure 9 it understood that hardness at centre of FZ is less and it keeps on increasing towards HAZ.

## 2.5 Measurement of Ultimate Tensile Strength

Three transverse tensile specimens are prepared as per ASTM E8M-04 guidelines and the specimens after wire cut Electro Discharge Machining are shown in Figure 10 & 11. Tensile tests are carried out in 100 KN computer controlled Universal Testing Machine (ZENON, Model No: WDW-100) as shown in Fig.12. The specimen is loaded at a rate of 1.5 KN/min as per ASTM specifications, so that the tensile specimens undergo deformation. From the stress strain curve, the yield and ultimate tensile strength of the weld joints is evaluated and the average of three results is presented in Table 6.



Figure 10: Schematic diagram of tensile specimen as per ASTM E8.



Figure 11: Tensile specimens of AISI 304L welded joints



Figure 12: Universal Testing Machine

### 3 Results & Discussions

Table 6 describes the weld quality characteristics of pulsed micro plasma arc welded AISI 304L sheets.

Welding Speed	W	eld pool Ge	eometry(mn	Fusion Zone grain	Fusion Zone	Ultimate Strength	
(mm/minute)	Front Width	Back Width	Front Height	Back Height	size (Microns)	(VHN)	(MPa)
150	1.612	1.520	0.042	0.036	22.482	196.1	667
200	1.586	1.402	0.050	0.044	26.615	198.95	692
260	1.509	1.439	0.060	0.047	31.592	201.475	708
300	1.193	0.747	0.052	0.038	30.861	190.95	657

Table 6: Comparison of weld quality characteristics

## 3.1 Weld pool Geometry

From Table 6 and from Figures 2a, 2b, 2c & 2d it is noticed that at the welding speed of 150 mm/minute over melting of base metal was noticed and when the welding speed of 300 mm/minute there is improper fusion of the base metal. At the welding speed of around 260 mm/min optimum weld pool geometry parameters are obtained.

# 3.2 Fusion Zone Grain Size

The variation of fusion zone grain size with respect to welding speed was presented in Figure 13. It is noticed that the grain size increases gradually, when the welding speed increases from 150 mm/minute to 300 mm/minute.



Figure 13: Variation of fusion zone grain size

# 3.3 Fusion Zone hardness

The variation of fusion zone hardness with respect to welding speed was presented in Figure 14. It is noticed that the hardness increases gradually up to 201.475 VHN at welding speed of 260 mm/minute and there after decreases to 190.95 VHN, when the welding speed is 300 mm/minute.



Figure 14: Variation of fusion zone hardness

# 3.4 Ultimate Tensile Strength

The variation of ultimate tensile strength with respect to welding speed was presented in Figure 15. It is noticed that the ultimate tensile strength increases gradually up to 708 MPa at welding speed of 260 mm/minute and there after decreases to 657 MPa, when the welding speed is 300 mm/minute.



Figure 15: Variation of ultimate tensile strength

## 4 Conclusions

AISI 304L austenitic stainless steel sheets are successfully welded using pulsed current MPAW process at different welding speeds. From the experiments performed, it is revealed that sound weld pool geometry is obtained at the welding speed of 260 mm/minute. Fusion zone grain size increased from welding speed of 150 mm/minute to 300 mm/minute, where as fusion zone hardness and ultimate tensile strength increased with welding speed up to 260 mm/minute and thereafter decreased. From the results on various weld quality characteristics tests, it is understood that at the welding speed of 260 mm/min optimal weld quality characteristics are obtained. The optimal weld quality characteristics are the weld pool geometry having front width 1.509 mm, back width 1.439 mm, front height 0.060 mm, back height 0.038 mm, fusion zone grain size of 31.592 mm, fusion zone hardness of 201.475 mm and ultimate tensile strength of 708 MPa

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Shri. R.Gopla Krishnan, Director, M/s Metallic Bellows (I) Pvt Ltd, Chennai for his support to carry out experimentation work.

# References

 Balasubramanian.M,Jayabalan.V, Balasubramanian.V, 2010, Effect of process parameters of pulsed current tungsten inert gas welding on weld pool geometry of titanium welds, Acta Metall.Sin.(Engl.Lett.), 23(4) : 312-320.

- Balasubramanian.B,Jayabalan.V,
   Balasubramanian.V, 2006, Optimizing the Pulsed Current Gas Tungsten Arc Welding Parameters, J Mater Sci Technol, 22(6): 821-825.
- [3] Giridharan.P.K, N.Murugan, 2009, Optimization of pulsed GTA welding process parameters for the welding of AISI 304L stainless steel sheets, J Mater Sci Technol, 40 : 478-489.
- [4] Kondapalli Siva Prasad, Ch.Srinivasa Rao, D.Nageswara Rao, 2011, Prediction of Weld Pool Geometry in Pulsed Current Micro Plasma Arc Welding of SS304L Stainless Steel Sheets, International Transaction Journal of Engineering, Management & Applied Sciences & Technologies, 2(3) :325-336.
- [5] Kondapalli Siva Prasad, Ch.Srinivasa Rao, D.Nageswara Rao, 2011, A Study on Weld Quality Characteristics of Pulsed Current Micro Plasma Arc Welding of SS304L Sheets, International Transaction Journal of Engineering, Management & Applied Sciences & Technologies, 2(4) :437-446.
- [6] Kondapalli Siva Prasad, Ch.Srinivasa Rao, D.Nageswara Rao, 2011, Optimizing Fusion Zone Grain Size And Ultimate Tensile Strength Of Pulsed Current Micro Plasma Arc Welding Welded SS304L Sheets Using Hooke & Jeeves Algorithm, Proceedings of International Conference on Futuristic Trends in Materials and Energy Systems, V R Siddhartha Engineering College, Vijayawada, A.P., India, 112-119.
- [7] Kondapalli Siva Prasad, Ch.Srinivasa Rao, D.Nageswara Rao, 2012, Establishing Empirical Relations to Predict Grain Size and Hardness of Pulsed Current Micro Plasma Arc Welded SS 304L Sheets, American Transactions on Engineering & Applied Sciences, 1(1):57-74.
- [8] Kondapalli Siva Prasad, Ch.Srinivasa Rao, D.Nageswara Rao, 2012, Effect of process parameters of pulsed current micro plasma arc welding on weld pool geometry of Inconel 625 welds ,Kovove Materialy - Metallic Materials, 50(3):153-159.